

150

Minutes of the 79th meeting of the Internal Technical Committee under Amended Technology Up gradation Fund Scheme (ATUFS) held on 04.03.2025 at 11:30 AM

The 79th meeting of the Internal Technical Committee under Amended Technology Up gradation Fund Scheme (ATUFS) was convened on 04.03.2025 from 11:30 AM onwards (Hybrid Mode) under the Chairmanship of Shri Iqbal Ahmad, Director in the Conference Hall of the Office of the Textile Commissioner. The list of participants is attached as **Annexure-I**. The agenda points, which were discussed and decisions taken thereof are as under: -

Agenda Point No 1: Verification and recommendation of proposals for enlistment of Machinery Manufacturers under ATUFS as per Para no. of 3.4 of Revised Resolution of ATUFS dated 02.08.2018 and subsequent order No. 6/5/2015-TUFS dated 06.08.2019.

The list of 04 proposals (Abroad Manufacturer- 01, Indigenous manufacturer-01, Indigenous authorized agent-01, Abroad Authorised agent -01) received from Textile Machine Manufacturers/Authorized Agents for enlistment under ATUFS, were scrutinized by the Internal Technical Committee.

Decision of 79th ITC meeting:Out of the said 04 proposals, 03 proposals (Abroad Manufacturer-01, Indigenous authorized agent-01, Abroad Authorised agent -01) were recommended to the Textile Commissioner for approval to upload the same on website of the Textile Commissioners Office (Attached herewith as Annexure-II). In respect of one indigenous machine manufacturer, it was decided to conduct a physical verification by the Regional Office of the Textile Commissioner, Noida to evaluate the manufacturing infrastructure and capacity of claimed benchmark machine under ATUFS.

Agenda Point No. 02: Manufacturer Name difference in Invoice vis a vis machine name plate & enlisted name reg.

RO-Amritsar vide letter dated 04.09.2023 and TUFS cell vide note dated 19.01.2024 informed that name differences for DANTI PAOLO & C. S.R.L., COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE TESSILI, ITALY observed.

S No.	Particular	Manufacturer name
1	Invoice	DANTI PAOLO E C. S.R.L COSTRUZIONEMACCHINE TESSILI
2	Machine name plate	DANTI PAOLO <u>E C.</u> S.R.L
3	Enlisted name	DANTI PAOLO <u>& C.</u> S.R.L (Annexure-I Sr no- 41) DANTI PAOLO <u>& C.</u> S.R.L., <u>COSTRUZIONI</u> MACCHINE TESSILI, ITALY (Annexure-III Sr No. 567)

15721

The manufacturer vide email dated 11.09.2024 replied in response to this office email query for name differences. Mr. Antonio Danti, Director and Shareholder of the company declaration as under:

I. Hereby declares that the trade names:

- a) DANTI PAOLO & C. S.R.L., COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE TESSILI, ITALY
- b) DANTI PAOLO & C. S.R.L
- c) DANTI PAOLO E C. S.R.L
- d) DANTI PAOLO E C. SRL., COSTRUZIONE MACCHINE TESSILI, ITALY
- e) DANTI PAOLO

Belong to the above-mentioned company, identified in Italy with fiscal code 01874900978 as per Chamber of Commerce Certificate.

- II. The company DANTI PAOLO & C. S.R.L. COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE TESSILI is a manufacturer of textile finishing machines with roots dating back in 1935 and is regularly selling textile finishing machines of its production, in India.
- III. In addition to above, the Manufacturer informed that in Italy the characters “&” and “E” have the same meaning (i.e. “and”) vide their letter dated 18.12.2023.

Decision Taken in 77th ITC meeting: The committee reviewed the declaration given by the manufacturer and noted that the manufacturer is using various trade names other than the enlisted name in invoice and machine name plate. The committee decided to call for a detailed note on branding exercise and marketing practice in line with 18th TAMC decision along with Trademark Certificate from the manufacturer.

Now the manufacturer submitted declaration that there are 5 trade names being used by them as under:

- a) DANTI PAOLO & C. S.R.L., COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE TESSILI, ITALY
- b) DANTI PAOLO & C. S.R.L
- c) DANTI PAOLO E C. S.R.L
- d) DANTI PAOLO E C. SRL., COSTRUZIONE MACCHINE TESSILI, ITALY
- e) DANTI PAOLO

The manufacturer also submitted Logo Registration Certificate for 3 Logo issued by Ufficio Marchi & Brevetti dated 15.05.1978 for: danti paolo, danti paolo e.c. S.R.L. and danti paolo & c. S.R.L.

152

Meeting discussion: The Committee examined the documents in details and noted that the Manufacturer is using 5 trade names. The trade name in invoice is different from enlisted name which is available in documents such as business license, ISO certificate etc. submitted during the enlistment. Further, in respect of other 3 trade names i.e. Danti Paolo & C. S.R.L., Danti Paolo E C. S.R.L and Danti Paolo, the manufacturer submitted the trademark certificates. As such, the Committee noted that there is no legal registered supporting documents for trade name Danti Paolo & C. S.R.L., Costruzione Macchine Tessili from which invoice is raised.

Decision of 79th ITC meeting: The Committee decided to call for any legal registered documents for the trade name **DANTI PAOLO & C. S.R.L., COSTRUZIONE MACCHINE TESSILI** being used by the Manufacturer.

Agenda Point No-03: Case forwarded by ATUFS Cell

ATUFS cell forwarded the case of M/s SSM Fine Yarn (A Division of Sri Saravana Spinning Mills Pvt Ltd- ATUFS/2018-19/2982). M/s SSM Fine Yarn purchased PLC controlled Continuous Knit Fabric Bleaching Plant (MC-02-16) from M/s Erbatech Machinery Pvt Ltd, Coimbatore. The manufacturer raised the invoice on 18.12.2018; however, affix the name plate having engraving 2019 as Year of Manufacturing.

Manufacturer Clarification: The manufacturing of machine is 2018 only and due to inadvertent error occurred on punching it engraved as 2019.

The aforesaid case was rejected by ATUFS cell based on the 67th ITC decision, however the unit has represented the case to reconsider citing the decision taken in the 38th TAMC meeting (Agenda No-04).

Section Observation: The decision of Agenda No.-04 in the 38th TAMC meeting clearly states that the consideration is for that case only and is not a general relaxation. Further, the 38th TAMC agenda was regarding invoice raised prior to the machine dispatch. Therefore, this case does not appear to be similar in nature with 38th TAMC meeting agenda.

Decision Taken in 77th ITC meeting: The Committee deliberated the case in detail and decided to call elaborated explanation from the machine manufacturer as how this error occurred in machine name plate in respect of year of manufacturing.

Now, M/s Erbatech submitted following document as per direction of 77th ITC meeting:

- Clarification letter in letter head dated 30.01.2025
- Tax invoice copy
- Lorry receipt copy
- E-way bill and sub invoice.

153

Explanation/Clarification by the manufacturer:

- Order from beneficiary (M/s SSM) in April 2018 for supply the machine in November 2018.
- Bill of material for around 12000 components released with target date November 2018.
- On account of delay of imported components, the dispatch plan was rescheduled to January 2019. Accordingly, machine name plate was also procured with YOM 2019.
- On M/s SSM request to supply the machine in December 2018 and under Time pressure, the machine was supplied without change in YOM from 2019 to 2018.

Meeting discussion: The Committee examined the declaration submitted by the manufacturer and found that the invoice and shipping documents pertain to December 2018 and the manufacturer inadvertently affixed the name plate with year of manufacture as 2019 as the machine was originally planned to be despatched in January 2019 but due to client pressure it was dispatched in 2018 itself. The committee also noted that TAMC/ITC had not considered similar cases in past. It may also be noted that the case was earlier rejected by RO/ATUFS cell and ATUFS cell has now forwarded the unit's representation for reconsideration without any comments/ recommendations.

Further, it was also informed to the committee that as per the Revised Resolution dated 02/08/2018 of ATUFS the Year of Manufacturing in name plate is not mandatory unlike the earlier ATUFS GR dated 29.02.2016. Since, the invoice 02.08.2018 pertain to the period after issue of new GR, the Year of manufacturing is not necessary.

Decision of 79th ITC meeting: The committee opined that ATUFS cell should scrutinise the case as per extant ATUFS guidelines and earlier decisions taken by the TAMC/ITC. As such it was decided to return the case back to ATUFS Cell.

Agenda Point No-04: Eligibility of PLC Based Co-Extrusion Lamination machine (Case forwarded by RO-Navi Mumbai)

RO-Navi Mumbai forwarded a case wherein M/s Navrang Machinery Pvt. Ltd., Ahmadabad (machine manufacturer enlisted under ATUFS at Sr. No- 74 of Annexure-III for MC-H-14) supplied PLC Based Co-Extrusion Lamination machine. The difference in machine description is as under:

- **Invoice and JIT report:** PLC Based Co-extrusion Lamination machine
- **ATUFS Guidelines:** PLC Based Lamination machine for Technical Textiles

159

The RO also forwarded clarification submitted by the Machine Manufacturer which is as under:

“There is a minor difference between PLC based Lamination Machine and PLC based Co-extrusion Lamination Machine. In PLC based Co-extrusion machine there are two extruders while in PLC based Lamination machine there is a single extruder. So, in PLC based Lamination machine you can have one layer only, whereas PLC based Co-extrusion machine you can have two layers. So in that case you can add, mix or change the recipe of material used by which you can improve quality and reduce the cost of production. Thus PLC based Co-extrusion Lamination Machine is an upgraded version of PLC Based Lamination Machine.”

Meeting discussion: The Committee was informed that a separate team/ committee constituted by this office to evaluate the model wise features and price bifurcations supplied by the same manufacturer and the committee has already submitted a final report to the Textile Commissioner. Hence, in the extant case also the said report can be referred to for arriving at the eligible subsidy amount.

Decision of 79th ITC meeting: The Committee opined that the supplied machine is with two extrusions; hence, the committee recommend to consider the machine under ATUFS with admissible subsidy amount as per aforesaid report.

Agenda Point No-05: Enlistment of Sales Subsidiary unit of M/s Veit GmbH, Germany

VEIT Hong Kong Limited submitted documents for their enlistment as Sales Subsidiary unit of M/s Veit GmbH, Germany. Parent unit M/s Veit GmbH, Germany is already enlisted under ATUFS at Sr No- 493 at Annexure-III for processing sector machines. The unit has submitted the documents:

- Annual Report of **Veit GmbH, Germany**
- Request letter for enlistment of Veit Hong Kong Ltd.
- MoU between Veit GmbH and Veit Hong Kong Ltd. as subsidiary unit
- Sales figures of VEIT Hong Kong Limited
- Documents submitted during parent enlistment (Undertaking, ISO etc)

Section Observation:

- ✓ From Audited report, it may be noted that Veit Hong Kong & Veit GmbH, Germany are group companies.
- ✓ Veit Hong Kong is Sales Subsidiary Company of Veit GmbH as per declaration given earlier during enlistment of parent company. The similar declaration is also given in MoU signed between Veit GmbH and Veit Hong Kong Ltd.

Decision of 79th ITC meeting: The Committee examined the documents submitted by the Manufacturer such as Audited balance sheet, business activity locations submitted at the time of enlistment and recommended to enlist VEIT Hong Kong Limited as Sales Subsidiary unit of Veit GmbH, Germany, which is already enlisted as machine manufacturer under ATUFS at Sr. No- 493 at Annexure-III.

Agenda Point No-06: Enlistment of Sales Subsidiary unit of MACPI SPA Pressing Industry Limited, Italy

M/s Macpi Group HK requested for enlistment as Authorized agent for below manufacturing companies:

- Macpi spa Pressing Division- Italy (Enlisted at Sr No-398 Annexure-III)
- M/s Fimas srl- Italy ((Enlisted at Sr No-430 Annexure-III)
- M/s Shanghai Manfield Garment Machinery Co. Ltd- China (Enlisted at Sr No-439 Annexure-III)

In this regard, the unit has submitted Company Registration Certificate along with Dealership Certificates from all the aforesaid 3 manufacturers.

Based on documents scrutiny and observations, this office requested to submit MOU if unit is authorized agent of above manufacturers (as such no commission mentioned in dealership certificate) or if unit is subsidiary entity, to submit Annual report establishing the relationship among companies.

In view of above, M/s Macpi Group HK submitted Annual report where Macpi group (HK) is subsidiary of M/s Macpi S.R.L., Italy who is Parent holding company.

In this regard, this office requested to clarify relation among Macpi S.R.L. Italy, Macpi Spa Pressing division and Macpi Group (HK). The unit through their Indian agent Macpi Trading India Pvt Ltd submitted declaration issued by their legal advisor. As per declaration,

- Macpi SRL is holding company
- Macpi SPA pressing division is manufacturing company
- Macpi Group (HK) is Sales subsidiary company

Decision taken 38th ITC held on 10.03.2022: The committee gone through the submitted documents and directed to call legal documents such as Annual report/ Audit report which comprises all 3 entities establishing relationship.

In response to this decision, the unit submitted Chamber of Commerce documents (Macpi SRL and Macpi SPA pressing division) and annual return of Macpi Group (HK). This office requested to submit the documents as per 38th ITC decision. Meanwhile, Shri. Mohanty, Director, M/s. Macpi India Pvt. Ltd. requested an appointment to meet personally for clarification. This office invited during the 46th ITC meeting. The case was deliberated and decision is under:

Decision Taken in 46th ITC meeting: The representative explained the relationship between M/s Macpi SRL as holding company, Macpi SPA pressing division as manufacturing Company and Macpi Group (HK) as sales subsidiary company. After detailed deliberation, the committee informed to submit legal document such as Audited balance sheet/ Annual report establishing subsidiary relationship of the aforesaid entities.

M/s MACPI Group has forwarded Annual report of MACPI S.R.L. Group as decided during the 46th ITC meeting. The MACPI Group submitted following documents in support:

- Audited balance sheet till 31.12.2023 MACPI S.R.L.
- Copy of Digital Sign
- English translated copy of the Enterprise Credit Information Report

As per the document, it may be established that

Parent holding company- M/s MACPI SRL, Italy

Subsidiaries:

- M/s MACPI SPA Pressing Division
- MACPI Group (HK)
- Shanghai Manfield China
- Fimas SRL
- MB Italtec Solution

Decision Taken in 77th ITC meeting: The Committee examined the documents provided by the manufacturer and noted that the parent company i.e. Macpi SRL is neither enlisted nor applied for enlistment. However, the subsidiary unit M/s Macpi SPA Pressing Division is enlisted and other subsidiary unit M/s Macpi HK Group Ltd has applied for enlistment under ATUFS. The committee decided to call manufacturing activity, marketing practice such as who will raise invoice and other commercial documents, entity name available in machine name plate etc for the machines supplied to the country under ATUFS from the M/s MACPI SRL group.

MACPI Group submitted their declaration as per 77th ITC decision, stated as under:

MACPI SRL is parent holding company of 3 manufacturing companies i.e. Macpi SPA Pressing Division Italy, FIMAS SRL, Italy and Shanghai Manfield Garment Machinery Co. Ltd. China. And one trading company i.e. MACPI Group (HK) Limited, Hong Kong.

MACPI Group (HK) Limited supports customers mostly in Asia Pacific region for invoicing or servicing.

Decision of 79th ITC meeting: The Committee examined the documents submitted by the Manufacturer such as Audited balance sheet of parent holding company & MACPI Group (HK) Limited and the business activities followed by the different units. The Committee recommended to enlist of MACPI Group (HK) Limited as Sales Subsidiary unit of Macpi SPA Pressing Division Italy, FIMAS SRL, Italy and Shanghai Manfield Garment Machinery Co. Ltd. China.

Na


Sarav

57
Agenda Point No-07: Case of M/s KaoHeng Precision Machinery Industrial Co. Ltd., Taiwan

ATUFS cell forwarded case of M/s KaoHeng Precision Machinery Industrial Co. Ltd, Taiwan wherein the manufacturer supplied Flatbed Knitting Machines. ATUFS cell requested to place the matter in the ITC for deliberation based on the following differences observed during scrutiny:

- i. Name of Manufacturer in machine name plate- M/s KaoHeng Co. Ltd.
- ii. Name in invoice- M/s KaoHeng Precision Machinery Industrial Co. Ltd, Taiwan
- iii. Name in enlistment: M/s KaoHeng Precision Machinery Industrial Co. Ltd, Taiwan (Annexure- III at Sr. No- 121)

The manufacturer submitted branding exercise and trademark certificate in support. During scrutiny, the difference in logo is observed in machine name plate and trademark certificate which are as under:



The manufacturer was called to submit clarification vide e-mail dated 22.08.2024, 28.11.2024, 18.12.2024 and 19.12.2024, however, the Manufacturer has not submitted any reply/clarification till date.

Section Comments: The case related to difference in name in machine name plate and invoice for same manufacturer was deliberated in the 20th ITC and 58th ITC Meetings. The decision of the 58th ITC Meeting is as under:

"As this matter is not technical in nature and also out of purview of ITC mandate, hence, ATUFS cell may take a suitable view/decision based on the clarification received from the unit and guidelines of the ATUFS."

The above decision was ratified in the 33rd meeting of TAMC.

Now, ATUFS cell has forwarded the case for deliberation based on logo/brand with branding exercise and trademark certificate as per the 15th TAMC decision.

Decision Taken in the 78th ITC: The Committee noted that the manufacturer is not responding to this office e-mails since long, in respect of difference in the logo on machine name plate vis a vis Trademark Certificate. The Committee requested TMB Section to send a final reminder to submit their clarification on difference on logo within 15 days from the date of communication. Thereafter, the case may be placed in ensuing ITC meeting for a final decision in the matter.

This office vide e-mail dated 13.02.2025 requested the manufacturer to submit the clarification within 15 days. There is no reply submitted by manufacturer till date. Hence, the case is again placed in ITC meeting for final decision.

Decision of 79th ITC meeting: The Committee deliberated in detail and noted that the logo on Trademark Certificate and machine name plate/invoice is slightly different. Further, the manufacturer name plate does not comprise full name of manufacturer as deliberated in 58th ITC meeting. The manufacturer is also not responding to this office e-mails since long. Hence, the committee did not recommend to consider the case of KauoHeng Precision Machinery Industrial Co. Ltd., Taiwan.

Agenda Point No-08: Case regarding addition of two words in name of manufacturer Gofront Dyeing and Finishing Machinery Manufacturer Limited, China

TUFS cell forwarded case of difference in name of machine manufacturer observed during scrutiny of ATUFS claim in respect of M/s. Jindba Processors Pvt. Ltd. (TUFS Ref No. ATUFS/2019-20/2834) who purchased fabric/yarn dyeing machines from Gofront Dyeing And Finishing Machinery Manufacturer Limited, China. ATUFS cell submitted Invoice copy, Machine name plate photo, manufacturer website copy, manufacturer letter and requested to place this matter in upcoming ITC as per 24th TAMC decision. The name differences as follows,

S No.	Particular	Description
1	Machine name plate	<u>GUANGZHOU PANYU</u> GOFRONT DYEING AND FINISHING MACHINERY MANUFACTURER LIMITED, CHINA
2	Enlisted Name	GOFRONT DYEING AND FINISHING MACHINERY MANUFACTURER LIMITED, CHINA (Enlisted at Sr No- 36 of Annexure-V)
3	Invoice issued by Authorised agent	GOFRONT HOLDING LIMITED, HONG KONG (enlisted at serial no. 444 in Annexure-III)

Manufacturer declaration: As trade practices, they have mentioned GUANGZHOU PANYU in addition to the manufacturer name which represents district and city name.

Section comments:

1. Invoice raised By M/s. Gofront Holding Limited, Hong Kong is a sales unit of M/s Gofront Dyeing And Finishing Machinery Manufacturer Limited, China as per submitted documents during enlistment.
2. As per documents submitted by machine manufacturer during enlistment, the address consist Guangzhou as City and Panyu a District. Hence, the declaration of manufacturer appears to be in line.

Handwritten signatures and initials: 'ka', 'Saalis', and 'ka'.

159
3. As per the direction of then Chairman of ITC, this office vide e-mail dated 26.03.2024, 28.08.2024, 07.11.2024, 28.11.2024, 18.12.2024 and 24.02.2024 called to submit the rules/law of administration state/country to support their declaration in respect of trade practise. The manufacturer submitted their reply vide mail dated 03.03.2025 alongwith supporting documents,

"We are engaged in the manufacturing of textile machines and are enlisted with the Ministry of Textile, Mumbai. Our company details are as follows:

1. *Manufacturing Unit: Gofront Dyeing and Finishing Machinery Manufacturer Limited, China*
2. *Sales Office: Gofront Holding Limited, Hong Kong*

We have two manufacturing plants in China:

- *Room 501, Room 1-4, Building 3, No.139, Dongyi Road, Donghuan Street, Panyu District, Guangzhou City, Guangdong Province, China*
- *No.15, Changgang Road, Genghe Town, Gaoming District, Foshan City, Guangdong Province, China*

We typically mention the city and district name with our company name on the machine nameplate to identify the plant where the machine was manufactured. That's why our company name is displayed as "Guangzhou Panyu Gofront Dyeing and Finishing Machinery Manufacturer Limited," with the first the first two letters representing the city and state, respectively."

Decision of 79th ITC meeting: The committee noted that the TAMC/ITC has allowed the addition of province name in similar cases earlier. The committee also noted that the units address in documents submitted during enlistment comprise the province name and district name. Accordingly, the committee recommended for considering the case of Gofront Dyeing and Finishing Machinery Manufacturer Limited, China with prefix of city and district name in machine name plate.

Agenda Point No-09: Case of Error in Authorised Agent name in MoU and no response from the manufacturer on revised MoU

Zhejiang Allwell Intelligent Technology Co., Ltd. China is enlisted as Authorised agent of M/S. Wenzhou Allwell Machinery Share Co. Ltd. China at Sr. No 392 of Annexure-III. The enlistment of authorised agent is made as per submitted documents (MoU) during enlistment.

The authorised agent requested to correct the name from **Zhejiang** Allwell Intelligent Technology Co. Ltd. China to **Zhejiang** Allwell Intelligent Technology Co. Ltd. China. Based on this request, this office vide e-mail dated 28.12.2023 followed by reminder 28.01.2025, requested the manufacturer/authorised agent to submit following documents:

- Revised original MOU dated 01.01.2021 having ink signed and proper stamp of the unit in each page of the MOU.
- Self-Attested copy of Business License / Business registration / Government document for authenticating the agent

The manufacturer/authorised agent did not submit any reply till date on the subject. ATUFS cell is requesting the revised MoU copy to process the cases.

Decision of 79th ITC meeting: The committee noted that the manufacturer is not responding this office e-mails regarding submission of revised documents, since long. Hence the committee did not recommend to consider the case under ATUFS.

Handwritten signature/initials

Handwritten signature/initials

ATUFS

Access Point No. 02, Manufacturer Name difference in Invoice vs. machine name plate & related name reg.

RO Number: 1202/2019 dated 04.09.2019
 In view of the above, the case is being kept under observation.


Sl. No.	Manufacturer Name
1	DANI PAOLO & C. S.R.L. COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE ITALY
2	DANI PAOLO & C. S.R.L.
3	DANI PAOLO & C. S.R.L. (Annexure No. 4) DANI PAOLO & C. S.R.L. COSTRUZIONI MACCHINE ITALY (Annexure No. 5)

List of abroad Machine Manufacturers placed before 79th Internal Technical Committee

Sl. no.	File no.	Name of the unit	Embassy Certificate	Business License	Undertaking	Valid I.S.O/CE self declaration	Sales figure	Eligible Textile Machine covered under which annexure of ATUFS	Whether as per direction of 18th TAMC, i.e., Embassy Certificate was routed through MoFA of concern country?	Remark	Technical Committee Decision
1	M-101	M/s. MERSAN PASLANMZ MAKINA SAN.VE TIC LTD SFI, TURKEY	Yes	Yes	Yes	CE Certificate	Yes	MC-2-11, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 31, 32, 59, 60, 61, 64, 70, 71, 85 & MC-07-14.	Yes (The Asst. Consular Officer, Consulate General of India, Istanbul attested the business license alongwith apostille)	The unit established on 09.01.1996 (around 30 years) and submitted all documents as per Circular-6	Recommended

NK
NK Singh





Sachin Kumar
04/04/2025

Sachin's

List Agents for Machine Manufacturers placed before 79th Internal Technical Committee

Sl no.	File no.	Name of the authorized agent and its Parent machine manufacturer	Indigenous/ Abroad	MoU for Subsidiary unit /Authorised Agent with % of commission	Remark	Technical Committee Decision
1	M-101	M/s. 7S ENERGEC SOLUTIONS LLP, AHMEDABAD (AUTHORISED AGENT OF M/s. MERSAN PASLANMZ MAKINA SAN.VE TIC LTD STI, TURKEY)	Indigenous	Yes 3-6%		Recommended
2	N-37	M/S. WENZHOU PELLE TRADING COMPANY LIMITED, CHINA (AUTHORISED AGENT OF M/s. NINGBO BEWORTH TEXTILE MACHINERY CO., LTD., CHINA)	Abroad	Yes 1%		Recommended


Nes
N.K Singh

04/03/2025


Saurin Kumar
04/04/2025

Saurin

List of Indigenous Machine Manufacturers placed before 79th Internal Technical Committee for VC											
Sl. No.	File no.	Name of the unit	BUSINESS LICENCE			Undertaking	Valid I.S.O	Sales figure	Eligible Textile Machine covered under which annexure of ATUFS	Remark	Technical Committee Decision
			Certificate of incorporation	Udyog Aadhar	Ack from DIC						
1	U-22	M/s. Unnat Agrotech Panipat, Haryana	-	Udyam Certificate	-	Yes	Yes. (Verified)	Yes	MC-2-40 (PLC controlled fully automatic flat bed printing machine with pneumatic blanket control)	The case was deliberated in 78th ITC meeting and the committee decided to call the manufacturer in VC for verification of manufacturing Infrastructure and activities of benchmark technology.	The committee decided to get the physical verification of the unit done by R.O. Noida.



 NK Singh





Sandhu

Sachin Kumar
04/04/2025


04/3/2025